

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/929,621

Applicant(s)

WORMSBECHER, RICHARD
FRANKLIN

Examiner

Ernest G. Therkorn

Art Unit

1723

All Participants:(1) Ernest G. Therkorn.(2) William D. Bunch.**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 April 2004**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

Claim 1


Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner initiated the interview to propose amendments to place the case in condition for allowance. It was apparent to the examiner that page 6, lines 9 and 10 of the specification indicated important aspects of the invention. The examiner proposed the changes to claim 1 to incorporate these aspects and thereby place the case in condition for allowance. William D. Bunch then authorized the changes to be made by examiner's amendment.